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Camphor tosylhydrazone (I) decomposes to camphene (II) and tricyclene (III) upon treatment with one equivalent of lithium aluminum hydride in ethereal solvents. The camphene-tricyclene ratio, 60:40 (see TABLE), is unaffected by changes in reaction temperature or solvent.


This result is unexpected since the sodium salt of I decomposes almost exclusively to tricyclene (III) by heating in weakly proton donating soivents ( 1,2 ), whereas camphene (II) formation is favored in strongly proton donating solvents. Powell and Whiting (1) concluded that III was
generated from a carbenoid species (V) which resulted from the loss of nitrogen frcm diazocamphane (IV), an intermediate produced in the rate determining step. They also concluded that if a proton were available, the diazocamphane intermediate would lose nitrogen giving carbonium ion VII. This species then rearranges, with the expulsion of a proton, to camphene (II).


Further evidence in support of this mechanism was the observation that diazocampiane (IV) decomposes spontaneously to tricyclene in ethereal solutions ( 2,4 ), whereas camphene (II) is the preponderant product in strongly proton donating solvents (2).

The results of this investigation demonstrate that the presence of a. Lewis acid, namely salts of aluminum or boron, in the reaction medium will lead to mixtures highly enriched in camphene (II), regardless of the nature of the solvent. These results are summarized in the TABLE.
TABLE

| Solvent | Base | Temp. | Time | Yield <br> Hydrocarbons | (a) <br> Camphene | Tricyclene |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

(a) o-xylene used as internal standard and appropriate correction made for its different mode of
response in the gas chromatograph.
Reaction of Camplior Tusylhydrazone with One Equivalent of Base

Thus, camphor tosylhydrazone decomposes with lithium aluminum hydride i.a refluxing THF (b. p. $60^{\circ}, 630 \mathrm{~mm}$.) or in diglyme at $70^{\circ}$ and $150^{\circ}$ to give the same (within experimental error) camphene-tricyclene ratio. Tize overall yield of hydrocarbon product increases at higher reaction semperatures (5). Replacing lithium aluminum hydride with sodium borohydride led to the altered camphene-tricyclene ratio of $48: 52$, indicating strongly that the nature of the salt of camphor tosylhydrazone determines the amount of each product formed. Sodium borohydride was far less effective in the reaction than lithium aluminum hydride as evidenced $b$, the lower yield at $150^{\circ}$ and the complete lack of reaction at $70^{\circ}$. An excellent yield of tricyclene (III) was obtained, as expected ( 1,2 ) when the sodium salt, prepared with sodium hydride, was decomposed at $150^{\circ}$ but when an aluminum or boron source was added after the formation of the sodium salt (evidenced by the cessation of hydrogen evolution), mix = tures highly enriched in camphene (II) were obtained.

The aluminum salt of camphor tosylhydrazone can be calculated from the experimental data to decompose to a mixture of II and III in the ratio of $4: 1$, if it is assumed that the lithium salt decomposes exclusively to III as the sodium salt does. Since an aluminum ion will complex with three times as many tosylhydrazone anions as a lithium ion will, a ratio of 75:25 would be observed provided the aluminum salt gave only camphene (II). Tie observed ratio of $60: 40$ indicates that the aluminum salt decomposes to a mixture of camphene (II) and tricyclene (III) in a 60:15 ratio. This hypothesis was tested by treating camphor tosylhydrazone (I) with one
equivalent of aluminum ethoxide and, indeed, the $4: 1$ ratio was observed (see TABLE).

Finally, decomposition of the reaction mixture with deuterium oxide in place of water in any of the camphene producing reactions gives only unlabeled camphene (II), as demonstrated by mass spectrometry.

In summary, the following mechanism is proposed for the formation of camphene (II) in the presence of Lewis acids such as aluminum or boron containing species:

$M=A l$ or $B, X=$ solvent or tosylhydrazone anion.
The aluminum or boron species, which act as Lewis acids, are probably in the form of a tosylhydrazone salt at the beginning of the reaction, a ptoluenesulfinate salt near the end and mixed salts at intermediate stages. From the experimental data it appears that a boron species is not as effective as an aluminum species in the rearrangement process. Possibly this is due to increased solvation of the former Lewis acid source, theres by increasing its bulk.

In a resent communication (6), Fischer, Pelah, Williams and Djerassi reported that 4, 4-dimethyl-5 $\alpha$-androstan-3-one losylhydrazone (XT) decomposes to $4,4-$ dimethyl $-\Delta^{2}-5 \alpha$-inndrostene (XII) under similar conditions. If the Lewis acid mechanism were operative in this system 3-isopropyl-dene- $\Lambda$-nor- $5 \alpha$-aindrostane (XIII), the normal Barnford-Stevens product (7) would have been expected as the major product. Since the Stanford groip had no reason to expect a rearrangement product, no structural work wats performec on their olefinic product (8). We repeated their experiment (9) and found a mixture of the two olefins XII and XIII in the ratio of 3:7 (10) as well as some of the saturated analog of XII (6). The nmr spectrum of the mixed hydrocarbon fraction ( 3.3 mg. ) clearly showed that the rearranged product XIII was the more abundant of the two olefins by the area under the two singlets at 1.59 and 1.72 ppm. (isopropylidene group). The olefinic protons in XII were of extremely low intensity, appearing between 5 and 6 pprr.


It therefore appears that the Lewis acid mechanism may have general significarce and we are presently studying the effect of potential Lewis acids on other systems which can give different products from carbenoid and cationoid mechanisms.
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